



UNIVERSITY *of* LIMERICK
OLLSCOIL LUIMNIGH

Faculty of Science and Engineering
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

END OF SEMESTER ASSESSMENT PAPER

MODULE CODE: MS4327

SEMESTER: Spring 2016

MODULE TITLE: Optimisation

DURATION OF EXAMINATION: $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours

LECTURER: Dr. J. Kinsella

GRADING SCHEME: 20% +80%

EXTERNAL EXAMINER: Prof. J. King

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES: Answer four questions correctly for full marks: 80%. See the Appendices at the end of the paper for some useful results.

- 1 (a) Prove Zoutendijk's Theorem (Theorem 1 in Appendix C). 15
- (b) Explain briefly the significance of the result. 1
- (c) Suppose that search directions \mathbf{p}_k are generated using a Newton-like method: $\mathbf{p}_k = -\mathbf{B}_k^{-1}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ where \mathbf{B}_k is symmetric and positive definite. For any matrix \mathbf{A} let $\|\mathbf{A}\|$ be the matrix 2-norm, equal for real symmetric matrices to the absolute value of the largest eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . Show that if $\|\mathbf{B}_k\|\|\mathbf{B}_k^{-1}\| \leq M$ for all k then $\cos \theta_k \geq 1/M$ where θ_k is defined in Appendix C. 8
- (d) Use Zoutendijk's Theorem above to show that in this case

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)\| = 0.$$
 1
- 2 (a) Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 and \mathbf{p}_k is a descent direction at \mathbf{x}_k . Assume that f is bounded below along the ray $\{\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha\mathbf{p}_k | \alpha > 0\}$. Show that if $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$ there exist step lengths α satisfying the Wolfe conditions; Eqs. 3a and 3b in Appendix A. 12
- (b) Draw a sketch to illustrate your proof. 4
- (c) Consider the Backtracking Line Search algorithm (Algorithm 1 in Appendix D). Prove that provided at least one iteration takes place, then for ρ sufficiently close to 1 (i.e. provided the backtracking is sufficiently slow), Algorithm 1 will produce an interval $I = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ such that the Wolfe conditions Eqs. 3a and 3b are satisfied for all $\alpha \in I$ with $c_1 = c$ (from Algorithm 1) and for some $c_2 > c_1$. 9
- 3 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^3 and let the point \mathbf{x}^* satisfy $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) \equiv \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*) \equiv \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ be positive definite.
- (a) Derive the Newton direction $\mathbf{p}_k = -\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_k)\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ by minimising $\mathbf{m}_k(\mathbf{p})$, the second-order Taylor series approximation to $f(\mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{p})$ with respect to \mathbf{p} where $\mathbf{m}_k(\mathbf{p}) \equiv f_k + \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{g}_k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathbf{p}$. 2
- (b) Show that if at any point \mathbf{x}_k , $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ is positive definite then the Newton direction $\mathbf{p}_k^N = -\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_k)\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ is a descent direction, i.e. that $\mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k) < 0$. 2
- (c) Find an explicit negative upper bound for $\mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ in this case. 3
- (d) If $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_k)$ is not positive definite, describe a simple strategy for obtaining a descent direction by "shifting" the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_k)$. 2

- (e) Let $R = \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|$ be the distance from the start point \mathbf{x}_0 to \mathbf{x}^* . Assume that R is small enough for the condition $R < \frac{1}{k_1 k_2}$ to hold for some $k_1, k_2 > 0$ defined by the two conditions:

- the inverse Hessian is bounded in norm: $\|\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq k_1$
- the remainder term in a second-order Taylor expansion of $g(\mathbf{x}^*)$ centred at \mathbf{x} is uniformly bounded: $\|g(\mathbf{x}^*) - g(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x})\| \leq k_2 \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}\|^2$

for each \mathbf{x} inside the ball $B = \{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq R\}$.

Show that, under these assumptions,

- (i) the sequence of vectors $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{p}_k$ with $\mathbf{p}_k = -\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_k)g(\mathbf{x}_k)$ generated by Newton's method converges to \mathbf{x}^* . 12
- (ii) the convergence is quadratic. 2
- (f) What are the drawbacks of Newton's method compared to other gradient search methods such as Conjugate Gradient and Quasi-Newton methods? 2

4 Derive the DFP Quasi-Newton update formula for $\mathbf{H}_k \approx \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_k)$

$$\text{DFP: } \mathbf{H}_{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \gamma_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T) \mathbf{H}_k (\mathbf{I} - \gamma_k \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T) + \gamma_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_k = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$, $\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{g}_{k+1} - \mathbf{g}_k$ and $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k}$ by showing that \mathbf{H}_{k+1} is a solution to the problem $\min_{\mathbf{H}} \|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_k\|$ subject to $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^T$, $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$.

(As usual, $\mathbf{g}_k \equiv \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$.)

You may use the following sequence of steps:

- (a) Define $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{I} - \gamma_k \mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T$ and $\mathbf{P} = \gamma_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T$. Show that $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$. 2
- (b) Show that any matrix of the form $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{y}_k$ — where \mathbf{A} is an arbitrary $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrix. 1
- (c) Explain why requiring that \mathbf{H} be symmetric tells us that \mathbf{H} must take the form $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{P}$ — where \mathbf{A} is now an arbitrary $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ symmetric matrix. 1
- (d) Show that a positive definite symmetric matrix \mathbf{W} may be found s.t. $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{s}_k$. 4
- (e) Use the weighted Frobenius norm $\|\mathbf{C}\|_{\mathbf{W}} \equiv \|\mathbf{W}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{W}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{F}}$, where $\|\mathbf{C}\|_{\text{F}}^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij}^2$ for any real square matrix \mathbf{C} . Take \mathbf{W} as in (d). Show that for any symmetric matrix \mathbf{C} , $\|\mathbf{C}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2 = \text{Trace}(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{C})$. 5
- (See part (f) on next page.)

- (f) Write $C \equiv H - H_k = K^T A K + P - H_k$ and show that the choice $A = H$ minimises $F(A) \equiv \|H - H_k\|_W^2$. (You can assume that $KWK^T = KW$.) 12
- 5 Consider the general equality-constrained problem (10) as defined in Appendix G.
- (a) Show that the penalty function $F^k(x)$ defined in (9) in Appendix F has the property that the (unconstrained) minima x_k of F^k converge to a local minimum x^* . 10
- (b) Prove the KKT first-order necessary conditions (12a) and (12b) in Appendix I for an **equality**-constrained problem . 10
- (c) Finally, prove that when inequality constraints are introduced, the extra condition $\lambda_i^* \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$ is necessary for x^* to be an optimal solution of (11) in Appendix H. Define the functions $c_i^-(x) = \min\{0, c_i(x)\}$, $j \in \mathcal{I}$. Obviously $c_i^-(x) \leq 0$, $j \in \mathcal{I}$. Adapt (without repeating the details) your argument for part (b) to show that $\lambda_i^* = -\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k c_i^-(x_k)$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$. 5
- 6 This question relates to the application of the KKT necessary conditions ((12a)–(12e) in Appendix I) to the Linear Program (LP) in Standard Form (SF)

$$\min c^T x, \text{ subject to } Ax = b, x \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

where c and x are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , b is a vector in \mathbb{R}^m , and A is an $m \times n$ matrix.

- (a) Show that the KKT conditions for the SF LP (Eq. 2 above) take the form: 5

$$A^T \lambda + s = c \quad (2a)$$

$$Ax = b \quad (2b)$$

$$x \geq 0 \quad (2c)$$

$$s \geq 0 \quad (2d)$$

$$x_i s_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2e)$$

- (b) Show that the KKT conditions for the “dual” LP

$$\max b^T \lambda, \text{ subject to } A^T \lambda \leq c. \quad (3)$$

(when the dual LP is re-formulated into SF) are the same as those for the primal problem (2). 5

- (c) Show that the optimal solutions of the primal and dual problems are equal — $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*$ and explain the significance of this result. 2
- (d) A vector \mathbf{x} is a basic feasible point for the SF LP (2) above **if it is feasible** and if there exists a subset \mathcal{B} of the index set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that
- \mathcal{B} contains exactly m indices ($m < n$).
 - $i \notin \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow x_i = 0$ (i.e. the bound $x_i \geq 0$ is inactive only if $i \in \mathcal{B}$).
 - The $m \times m$ matrix \mathbf{B} defined by $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{A}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{B}}$ is non-singular (\mathbf{A}_i is the i^{th} column of \mathbf{A})

Show that if we partition the vectors \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{c} according to the index sets \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \mathcal{B}$, using the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{B}} &= [\mathbf{x}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{B}}, & \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{N}} &= [\mathbf{x}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \\ \mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{B}} &= [\mathbf{s}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{B}}, & \mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{N}} &= [\mathbf{s}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{B}} &= [\mathbf{c}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{B}}, & \mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{N}} &= [\mathbf{c}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \end{aligned}$$

the Simplex algorithm (Algorithm 2 in Appendix J) may be derived from the KKT conditions (2a)–(2e).

13

(Take $\mathcal{B} = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $\mathcal{N} = \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbf{N} = [\mathbf{A}_i]_{i \in \mathcal{N}}$.)

Appendices of Results

A The Wolfe conditions for the step length α in a line search require that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha \mathbf{p}_k) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_k) + c_1 \alpha \mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k), \quad (3a)$$

$$\mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha \mathbf{p}_k) \geq c_2 \mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k), \quad (3b)$$

where $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$. The **strong** Wolfe conditions replace (3b) by

$$|\mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha \mathbf{p}_k)| \leq c_2 |\mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)|. \quad (4)$$

B In terms of a “line” function $\phi(\alpha) \equiv f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{p})$, the Wolfe conditions for the step length α in a line search require that

$$\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(0) + c_1 \alpha \phi'(0), \quad (4a)$$

$$\phi'(\alpha) \geq c_2 \phi'(0), \quad (4b)$$

where $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$. The **strong** Wolfe conditions replace (4b) by

$$|\phi'(\alpha)| \leq c_2 |\phi'(0)|. \quad (5)$$

C **Theorem 1 (Zoutendijk)** Consider any iteration of the form $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$, where \mathbf{p}_k is a descent direction and α_k satisfies the Wolfe conditions Eqs. 3a and 3b in Appendix A above. Suppose that f is bounded below in \mathbb{R}^n and that f is C^1 in an open set \mathcal{N} containing the sub-level set $\mathcal{L} \equiv \{\mathbf{x} : f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_0)\}$, where \mathbf{x}_0 is the starting point. Also assume that $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$, the gradient of f , is Lipschitz continuous on \mathcal{N} , i.e. there exists a constant L such that

$$\|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{g}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\| \leq L \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (6)$$

Then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \cos^2 \theta_k \|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2 < \infty, \quad (7)$$

where θ_k is the angle between \mathbf{p}_k and the steepest descent direction $-\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_k)$.

D Backtracking Line Search Algorithm

Algorithm 1 (Backtracking Line Search)

```

begin
  Choose  $\bar{\alpha} > 0$  and  $\rho, c \in (0, 1)$ 
   $\alpha := \bar{\alpha}$ 
  while  $\phi(\alpha) \geq \phi(0) + c\alpha\phi'(0)$  do
     $\alpha := \rho\alpha$ 
  end
   $\alpha_k := \alpha$ 
end

```

E A sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ that converges to a point \mathbf{x}^* has quadratic convergence if for some positive constant M

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2} \leq M, \quad \text{for all } k \text{ sufficiently large.} \quad (8)$$

F Let \mathbf{x}^* be a local minimum of the equality-constrained optimisation problem defined in Appendix G. For each positive integer k , define a **penalty function**

$$F^k(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{k}{2}\|\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2, \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary.

G The general equality constrained optimisation problem is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, i \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (10)$$

H The general inequality constrained optimisation problem is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & i \in \mathcal{E}, \\ \mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 & i \in \mathcal{I}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

I The first-order KKT necessary conditions for a point \mathbf{x}^* with optimal multipliers λ^* to be a local solution of an inequality-constrained minimisation problem are as follows: Suppose that \mathbf{x}^* is a local solution of a general constrained optimisation (as in Appendix H) problem and that the LICQ holds at \mathbf{x}^* (i.e. the active constraint gradients are linearly independent). Then there is a Lagrange multiplier vector λ^* , with components λ_i^* , $i \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I}$, such that the following conditions are satisfied at $(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*)$:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0, \quad (12a)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{E}, \quad (12b)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (12c)$$

$$\lambda_i^* \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (12d)$$

$$\lambda_i^* \mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I} \quad (12e)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \equiv f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I}} \lambda_i \mathbf{c}_i(\mathbf{x})$.

J The (revised) Simplex algorithm may be stated as:

Algorithm 2

Given $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}, \mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \geq 0, \mathbf{x}_N = \mathbf{0}$;

Solve $\mathbf{B}^T \lambda = \mathbf{c}_B$ for λ

$\mathbf{s}_N = \mathbf{c}_N - \mathbf{N}^T \lambda$; (pricing)

if $\mathbf{s}_N \geq 0$

then STOP(optimal point found)

fi

Select $q \in \mathcal{N}$ with $s_q < 0$ as the entering index

Solve $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{A}_q$ for \mathbf{d} ;

if $\mathbf{d} \leq 0$

then STOP(problem is unbounded)

fi

Calculate $x_q^+ = \min_{i | d_i > 0} (\mathbf{x}_B)_i / d_i$ and use \mathbf{p} to denote the minimizing i ;

Update $\mathbf{x}_B^+ = \mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{d} x_q^+, \mathbf{x}_N^+ = (0, \dots, 0, x_q^+, 0, \dots, 0)^T$;

Change \mathcal{B} by adding q and removing the basic variable corresponding to column \mathbf{p} of \mathcal{B} .