

UNIVERSITY *of* LIMERICK  
OLLSCOIL LUIMNIGH

College of Informatics and Electronics

**END OF SEMESTER ASSESSMENT PAPER**

MODULE CODE: MS4327

SEMESTER: Spring 2004

MODULE TITLE: Optimisation

DURATION OF EXAMINATION: 2 1/2 hours

LECTURER: Dr. J. Kinsella

PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL MARKS: 70%

EXTERNAL EXAMINER: Prof. J.D. Gibbon

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES: **Answer four questions correctly for full marks; 70%.**

1 In this question you will analyse the convergence of the Steepest Descent Method (S.D.M.). Assume that the matrix  $Q$  in the following is symmetric and positive definite. Let  $Q$  have eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ .

(a) First we derive some results for quadratic functions.

(i) Show that the minimum of a general quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx - b^T x$  is at  $x_0$  where  $x_0$  satisfies  $Qx_0 = b$ . 2

(ii) Show that (apart from a constant term which may be ignored)  $f(x)$  can be transformed into  $\bar{f}(y) = \frac{1}{2}y^T Qy$  by the change of variables  $x = y + x_0$ . 2

(iii) Check that the unique minimum of  $\bar{f}(x)$  is  $x^* = 0$ . 1

(iv) Show that the gradient and Hessian of  $\bar{f}(x)$  are  $Qx$  and  $Q$  respectively. 1

(b) Now we derive the convergence rate result.

(i) Show that when the S.D.M. is applied to  $\bar{f}(x)$  the norm of the error satisfies: 8

$$\|x_{k+1}\|^2 \leq \kappa^2 \|x_k\|^2, \text{ where } \kappa^2 = \max \{(1 - \alpha_k \lambda_1)^2, (1 - \alpha_k \lambda_n)^2\}.$$

(Hint: the S.D.M. update can be written  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k) = (I - \alpha_k Q)x_k$  where  $\alpha_k$  is the step size.)

(ii) Show that the  $\alpha$ -value that minimises  $\max \{(1 - \alpha \lambda_1)^2, (1 - \alpha \lambda_n)^2\}$  is  $\alpha = \frac{2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n}$ . 6

(iii) Finally show that setting  $\alpha_k$  equal to  $\frac{2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n}$  in  $\kappa^2$  gives the result: 4

$$\|x_{k+1}\| \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_1}{\lambda_n + \lambda_1} \right) \|x_k\|.$$

(c) Explain the significance of the result for the performance of the S.D.M. 1

- 2 Given a function  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , a point  $x$  and a search direction  $p$ , define  $\phi(\alpha) = f(x + \alpha p)$ . Then the Wolfe conditions for an inexact line search are:

$$\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(0) + c_1 \alpha \phi'(0), \quad (1a)$$

$$\phi'(\alpha) \geq c_2 \phi'(0). \quad (1b)$$

with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$ .

- (a) Show that if  $p$  is a descent direction then  $\phi'(0) < 0$ . 2
- (b) Define  $\Phi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) - (\phi(0) + c_1 \alpha \phi'(0))$  and show that: 1
- (i) For any  $\alpha$ ,  $\Phi(\alpha) \leq 0$  is equivalent to the first Wolfe condition (1a) holding at  $\alpha$ . 2
- (ii) For any  $\alpha$ ,  $\Phi'(\alpha) \geq 0$  implies that the second (weak) Wolfe condition (1b) holds at  $\alpha$ .
- (iii)  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . 1
- (iv)  $\Phi'(0) < 0$  1
- (c) Show that if the first Wolfe condition fails for some  $\alpha_0 > 0$  then the interval  $(0, \alpha_0)$  contains values for  $\alpha$  that satisfy the strong Wolfe conditions where (1b) is replaced by  $|\phi'(\alpha)| \leq c_2 |\phi'(0)| \equiv -c_2 \phi'(0)$ . (Assume  $\phi'(0) < 0$ .) You will find it helpful to draw a rough sketch graph of  $\Phi$ . 15
- (d) Explain briefly the significance of the result for step-size selection algorithms. 3

- 3 “Nearly exact” trust region methods seek to solve the problem

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} m(p) \equiv f_0 + g^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B p, \quad \text{such that } \|p\| \leq \Delta, \quad (2)$$

as accurately as possible. (Here  $f_0$  is a fixed scalar,  $g$  a fixed vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $B$  a fixed  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix and  $\Delta$  a fixed positive scalar.)

We are given the following:

**Theorem 1** *The vector  $p^*$  is a global solution of the problem (2) if and only if there is a scalar  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(B + \lambda I)p^* = -g \quad (3a)$$

$$\lambda(\Delta - \|p^*\|) = 0 \quad (3b)$$

$$(B + \lambda I) \text{ is positive semi-definite.} \quad (3c)$$

If we define  $p(\lambda) = -(B + \lambda I)^{-1}g$ , we need to show that the equation  $\|p(\lambda)\| = \Delta$  may be solved for  $\lambda$ . Proceed as follows:

- (a) Use the fact that a symmetric matrix  $B$  can be written  $B = Q\Lambda Q^T$  to show that: 8

$$p(\lambda) = -Q(\Lambda + \lambda I)^{-1}Q^T g = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{q_j^T g}{\lambda + \lambda_j} q_j, \quad (4)$$

where  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $B$ ,  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and the orthonormal matrix  $Q = [q_1 q_2 \dots q_n]$  where the column vectors  $q_i$  are the eigenvectors of  $B$ .

- (b) Derive a formula for  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2$ . 2
- (c) Show that  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2$  has the following properties for  $\lambda \geq -\lambda_1$ .
- (i)  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2$  has a vertical asymptote at  $\lambda = -\lambda_1$ . 2
  - (ii)  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2 \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -\lambda_1$  from the right. 2
  - (iii)  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2$  is monotone decreasing for  $\lambda \geq -\lambda_1$ . 2
  - (iv)  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ . 2
  - (v) The equation  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2 = \Delta^2$  has a single root  $\lambda = -\lambda^*$  in the interval  $(-\lambda_1, \infty)$ . 2
- (d) Sketch the graph of  $\|p(\lambda)\|^2$  for  $\lambda \geq -\lambda_1$  — illustrating the above properties. 3
- (e) We could use Newton's method for root-finding (solve  $F(x) = 0$  using  $x_{n+1} = x_n - F(x_n)/F'(x_n)$ ) to solve the equation  $\|p(\lambda)\| = \Delta$ . Explain why this is not satisfactory and why the equation  $1/\|p(\lambda)\| = 1/\Delta$  is a better choice. 2

4 The Fletcher-Reeves (FR) version of the non-linear conjugate gradient algorithm is:

### Algorithm 1

#### begin

Given  $x_0$ .

**set**  $r_0 \leftarrow \nabla f_0, p_0 \leftarrow -r_0, k \leftarrow 0$ ;

**while**  $r_k \neq 0$  **do**

$\alpha_k \leftarrow$  Result of line search along  $p_k$ ;

$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k p_k$ ;

$r_{k+1} \leftarrow \nabla f_{k+1}$

$\beta_{k+1}^{FR} \leftarrow \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}}{r_k^T r_k} \equiv \frac{\|r_{k+1}\|^2}{\|r_k\|^2}$ ;

$p_{k+1} \leftarrow -r_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1}^{FR} p_k$ ;

$k \leftarrow k + 1$ ;

**end** (while)

#### end

- (a) Suppose that Alg. 1 is implemented with a step length  $\alpha_k$  that satisfies the strong Wolfe conditions with  $0 < c_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the method generates descent directions  $p_k$  that satisfy the following inequalities:

$$-\frac{1}{1-c_2} \leq \frac{p_k^T g_k}{\|g_k\|^2} \leq \frac{2c_2-1}{1-c_2}, \quad \text{for all } k = 0, 1, \dots$$

22

- (b) Explain the significance of the result.

3

5 The DFP method updates an estimate  $B_k$  of the Hessian in the form:

$$B_{k+1} = (I - \gamma_k y_k s_k^T) B_k (I - \gamma_k s_k y_k^T) + \gamma_k y_k y_k^T. \quad (5)$$

Here  $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ ,  $y_k = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)$  and  $\gamma_k = s_k^T y_k$ . Derive this update rule by solving the problem:

$$\min_B \|B - B_k\| \quad (5a)$$

$$\text{subject to } B = B^T, B s_k = y_k. \quad (5b)$$

Here  $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$  and  $y_k = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)$ . You will use the “weighted Frobenius norm”:  $\|A\|_W \equiv \|W^{\frac{1}{2}} A W^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_F$ , where  $\|C\|_F^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij}^2$ . The weight  $W$  is an unspecified positive definite matrix satisfying  $W y_k = s_k$ .

- (a) Given the choice  $B = K^T AK + P$ , where  $K = (I - \gamma_k s_k y_k^T)$  and  $P = \gamma_k y_k y_k^T$ ;
- (i) Check that  $K s_k = 0$  and  $P s_k = y_k$ . 2
- (ii) Show that  $B$  satisfies the “secant equation”  $B s_k = y_k$  for all choices of the symmetric matrix  $n \times n$   $A$ . 1
- (iii) Check that this matrix  $B$  is always symmetric. 1
- (b) Show that for any  $n \times n$  matrix  $C$ ,  $\|C\|_W^2 = \text{Trace}(WCWC)$  where  $\text{Trace}(A)$  is the sum of its diagonal elements. 8
- (c) Now, writing  $F(A) = \text{Trace}(WCWC)$  where  $C = K^T AK + P - B_k$ , show that  $\frac{\partial F(A)}{\partial A_{\alpha\beta}} = 0$  implies that  $KWCWK^T = 0$ . 8
- (d) Finally show that the latter matrix equation can be simplified to  $KW(A - B_k)WK^T = 0$  using the identities for  $P, K$  and  $W$  above — allowing us to conclude that  $A = B_k$ . 5

- 6 The KKT necessary conditions for a point  $x^*$  with optimal multipliers  $\lambda^*$  to be a local solution of an equality-constrained minimisation problem

$$\min f(x) \text{ subject to } c_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k$$

are

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0, \quad (6a)$$

$$c_i(x^*) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, \quad (6b)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i c_i(x)$

Consider the equality-constrained Quadratic Program (Q.P.):

$$\min_x q(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T G x + x^T d, \quad (7a)$$

$$\text{subject to } a_i^T x = b, \quad i = 1, \dots, k \quad (7b)$$

- (a) Writing the set of  $k$  equality constraints (7b) as the matrix equation  $Ax - b = 0$ , show that the KKT conditions require that there must be a vector  $\lambda^*$  of Lagrange multipliers such that the following system of equations is satisfied:

5

$$\begin{bmatrix} G & -A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -d \\ b \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

- (b) If we write  $x^* = x + p$ , where  $x$  is an estimate of the solution and  $p$  the required step to the solution, show that (8) can be re-written as:

5

$$\begin{bmatrix} G & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -p \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g \\ c \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where  $c = Ax - b$ ,  $g = Gx + d$  (the gradient of  $q(x)$ ) and  $p = x^* - x$ .

- (c) Take  $q(x) = 3x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2 + x_1 - 2x_2$ . Calculate the gradient  $g = \nabla q(x)$  and the Hessian  $G = \nabla^2 q(x)$ .
- (d) Given the equality constraint  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$ , find  $A$  and  $b$ .
- (e) Use (9) to solve the Q.P.:  $\min q(x)$  subject to  $Ax = b$ . Use  $(0, 0)^T$  as your starting point  $x$ .

3

2

10